

COMMENT FORM

Cowlitz County and the Washington Department of Ecology request your comments on the State Environmental Policy Act Draft Environmental Impact Statement for Millennium Bulk Terminals – Longview.

Written comments can be submitted a number of ways:

- Submit this completed comment form at one of the public hearings/open houses
- Mail this completed comment form: Millennium Bulk Terminals EIS, c/o ICF International, 710 Second Avenue, Suite 550, Seattle, WA 98104
- Complete and submit an online comment form: www.millenniumbulkeiswa.gov

Comments on the SEPA Draft EIS are due by **June 13, 2016**.

Does your comment relate to one or more of the following areas?

- | | | |
|---|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Noise | <input type="checkbox"/> Coal Dust | <input type="checkbox"/> Wetlands or Streams |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Greenhouse Gas | <input type="checkbox"/> Water Quality |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Human Health | <input type="checkbox"/> Climate Change | <input type="checkbox"/> EIS Regulatory Process |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rail Transportation | <input type="checkbox"/> Plants and Animals | <input type="checkbox"/> Other topic |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Vessel Transportation | <input type="checkbox"/> Marine Species, Fish, or Fisheries | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Vehicle Transportation | | |

PLEASE SHARE YOUR COMMENTS BELOW:

As regards your poster related to Draft EIS on Greenhouse Gas Emissions: under "What could be done to reduce impacts?" You state that to reduce impacts "Develop a greenhouse gas mitigation plan that would reduce or offset 50 percent of the increased greenhouse gas emissions that would result from the proposed project" and then "Even with mitigation measures, the proposed project's projected contribution to greenhouse gas emissions would be a significant & adverse ~~of~~ impact."

My comment is this: I was a young child - probably not in school

(additional space is provided on the back)

(comment continued)

yet when nuclear ^{experiments} testing got started at Hanford. Now 70 years later we still ~~do~~ have not figured out a workable solution for dealing with the radioactive wastes that have accumulated there. I've heard over time a statement like, "don't worry about the wastes, science will figure out how to deal with them," But science hasn't figured out how to deal with Hanford's nuclear wastes & they are now leaking into the ground & maybe are getting into the water table & into the Columbia River.

When we have such a drastic situation as Global Warming on our hands, I believe/think it is very irresponsible to move ahead on a project in which, "even with mitigation measures the proposed projects' projected contribution to greenhouse gas emissions would be a significant & adverse impact."

Pauline Druffel Spokane.

I also suspect that the impacts of coal dust in the water on the fish & other aquatic life, as well as in the air & its effects on human health (not even knowing its impact on other animal life) is treated too narrowly, i.e. just at or primarily at the site of the terminal. The coal dust is currently escaping the trains all along the route from the coal mine to current terminals or power plants. And as a result, (no doubt along with other ^{air} pollutants) is having very serious impact on the health of people, especially children in the form of respiratory ailments. These are not trivial concerns.

Pauline Druffel Spokane